

# Additional Peerage Options Presentation Module

Third Release  
SCA Census 2010

Oct, 2011



In July 2009, the SCA Census 2010 Committee was formed by the Board of Directors to develop a census-level survey to help the SCA to move forward into the next decade; to identify, address and work on current issues; to gain a deeper understanding into what makes the SCA what it is today; and to delve into the reasons for joining, remaining in, and leaving the SCA.

The survey was fielded via the internet via Survey Monkey on August 1, 2010 and ran until December 1, 2010. Data coding, data cleaning, and identity security edits were performed on the 17,821 completed surveys in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarters of 2011.

The results of this survey will be made available in phases on the SCA 2010 Census website. The goal is quarterly releases. Our target in the next release (Winter 2011) is to offer deep dives into key topic areas such as the Same Gender Consort questions.

As we promised, the survey data will remain completely anonymous and will not be sold or shared beyond this survey's purpose. The questions we asked make it possible to identify individual respondents (for example, by looking at kingdom, awards, and offices held); therefore, we cannot keep that promise and make the raw data available. We will be releasing data summaries (this is the first of several planned summaries) as we continue analysis. We are working with experts in the field of data and identity security determine if we can find ways to make more of the data available without violating the promises we have made.

If you would like to learn more about the SCA 2010 Census, if you have questions or ideas about the project, please contact the committee at [scacensus2010@sca.org](mailto:scacensus2010@sca.org).

Chair: Lisa Sawyer (Ysabeau of Prague)

Vice Chair: Erik Langhans (Modius von Mergentheim)

Members:

John Rudin, Ph.D. (Robin of Gilwell)

Dave Majors (Dafydd Blaidd)

Max Nelson (Max von Halstern)

Julia Smith, Ph.D. (Juliana de Luna)

Mark Waks (Justin du Coeur)

Sarah Lash, Ph.D. (Sibyl Sevenoke)

Lew Wolkoff (Eleazar ha-Levi)

Kay Mead (Jutta van der Bruegghen)

Rob Bolin (Adair MacDermid)

Neil Perrie (Will of Okewaite)

Daniel Fenwick (Daniel de Blare)

Mildred Savidge (Jaquelinne Sauvageon)

Ward Ballard (Bertrand d'Avignon)

Wendy Erisman, Ph.D. (Gwenllian ferch Maredudd)

Benjamin M. White (Connor MacGillivray)

Josh Handel (Martin Malone)


Kate Daniel (Maimuna al-Bukhariyya)

Mike Squires (Alan Culross)

Board Ombudsman: Denise Hundley (Denise Duvalier)

	pg
Awards level by category of award	5
Peerage for other martial (Yes-No)	6
How recognized by kingdom	22
How recognized by participation	30
How recognized by activity type	38
How recognized by level of award	46
How recognized by current peerage	54


Q29. Which of the following awards have you received (understanding that not all kingdoms or local groups give all of these awards)? (Same question but with bases switched/reversed) (By type of award)



Q45. The SCA currently has peerages for excellence in armored combat (Chivalry), arts and sciences (Laurel), and service (Pelican), as well as for activities as royals (Rose, royal peerage).

Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)?

Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By level of involvement)






Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By kingdom)



		Yes	No	No Opinion
Æthelmearc	<b>100%</b>	74%	14%	12%
Ansteorra	<b>100%</b>	68%	20%	11%
An Tir	<b>100%</b>	76%	12%	12%
Artemisia	<b>100%</b>	68%	20%	12%
Atenveldt	<b>100%</b>	69%	20%	11%
Atlantia	<b>100%</b>	77%	13%	10%
Caid	<b>100%</b>	68%	19%	13%
Calontir	<b>100%</b>	67%	20%	13%
Drachenwald	<b>100%</b>	70%	11%	19%
Ealdormere	<b>100%</b>	70%	16%	14%
East	<b>100%</b>	73%	14%	13%
Gleann Abhann	<b>100%</b>	68%	20%	12%
Lochac	<b>100%</b>	79%	10%	12%
Meridies	<b>100%</b>	69%	19%	12%
Middle	<b>100%</b>	70%	17%	12%
Northshield	<b>100%</b>	69%	14%	16%
Outlands	<b>100%</b>	69%	22%	10%
Trimaris	<b>100%</b>	65%	24%	11%
West	<b>100%</b>	63%	22%	15%



Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By organization)




Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By selected demographics)



By Gender	Yes	No	No Opinion
Male	69%	21%	11%
Female	73%	12%	15%
By Age	Yes	No	No Opinion
Under 18	68%	9%	23%
18-24	71%	11%	18%
25-34	73%	12%	15%
35-44	71%	19%	11%
45-54	72%	16%	12%
55 or older	69%	16%	15%
By HH Income	Yes	No	No Opinion
Under \$30,000	76%	10%	14%
\$30,000-\$59,999	73%	15%	12%
\$60,000-89,999	69%	20%	11%
\$90,000 or more	67%	23%	10%

Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By time in the SCA)




Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By selected activities)




In which SCA activities do you currently participate	Yes	No	No Opinion	n=
Armored combat / Heavy / Hard suit fighting	61%	30%	10%	2620
Fencing / Rapier / Light fighting	80%	14%	6%	2058
Target archery	78%	13%	9%	3149
Combat archery	75%	18%	6%	779
Equestrian activities	70%	24%	6%	453
Thrown weapons / Siege weapons, etc.	77%	14%	8%	1393
Which one primary SCA activity do you participate in most often or most seriously?	Yes	No	No Opinion	n=
Armored combat / Heavy / Hard suit fighting	52%	36%	11%	1311
Fencing / Rapier / Light fighting	87%	7%	6%	957
Target archery	85%	6%	9%	642
Combat archery	86%	8%	7%	65
Equestrian activities	79%	15%	6%	112
Thrown weapons / Siege weapons, etc	85%	5%	10%	102
Which one primary SCA activity do you participate in most often or most seriously? (Grouped by Type)	Yes	No	No Opinion	n=
Martial	68%	23%	9%	3189
Service	72%	17%	11%	1600
A&S	73%	13%	14%	4142


Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By office held)



Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By special position held)



Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By # of events per yr)






Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By selected award types)



What is the highest level of award you have received in the SCA?	Yes	No	No Opinion	n=
I have not received an award in the SCA	75%	5%	20%	2165
An award without an Award of Arms (AoA) attached (non-armigerous)	79%	9%	12%	553
An Award of Arms or, AoA level award (including orders of high merit)	75%	13%	11%	3990
Grant of Arms (grant) or grant level award	73%	18%	9%	1286
Court Barony	62%	26%	12%	353
Peerage (Chivalry, Pelican, Laurel)	58%	35%	7%	967
Royal peerage (Viscount/ess, Count/ess, Duke/Duchess)	42%	51%	6%	237
Which of the following awards have you received? (At any level)	Yes	No	No Opinion	n=
A&S	67%	23%	10%	3490
Service	69%	21%	10%	5470
Armored Combat	53%	40%	7%	1139
Fencing	79%	16%	5%	751
Other Martial	73%	21%	6%	858
Other Non-Martial	66%	27%	6%	1269
Unspecified	68%	21%	11%	1914

Q45. ...Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)? (By peerage type)



Chivalry

Laurel

Pelican

Royal Peerage

n=206

n=581

n=589


n=237


11,701 respondents answered 'Yes' to peerage recognition in Q45.  
They were then asked...

### How should the following additional martial activities be recognized?

	Do not recognize at the peerage level	Recognize within the Order of the Laurel	Recognize within the Order of the Chivalry	Recognize within the Order of the Pelican	Recognize within a new peerage order for this activity alone	Recognize within a new peerage order shared with other activities on this list	Other
Fencing / Rapier / Light fighting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Combat / Target archery	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Target archery alone	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Combat archery alone	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Equestrian	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Thrown weapons	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Siege weapons	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>


(please specify)







Q46. Peerage by martial activity by type of award. (Show in respondents) (Same data shown in different chart type) (With "Other" and "Pelican" responses removed)



11,701 respondents answered 'Yes' in Q45. Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)?


These were then asked...


Q46. How should the following additional martial activities be recognized?

By Kingdom




# Q46. How should a Fencing/Rapier/Light Fighting peerage be recognized? (By kingdom)






# Q46. How should a Target Archery only peerage be recognized? (By kingdom)







# Q46. How should a Combat Archery only peerage be recognized? (By kingdom)




# Q46. How should an Equestrian peerage be recognized? (By kingdom)



# Q46. How should a Thrown Weapons peerage be recognized? (By kingdom)











11,701 respondents answered 'Yes' in Q45. Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)?


These were then asked...


Q46. How should the following additional martial activities be recognized?


By Participation























11,701 respondents answered 'Yes' in Q45. Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)?

These were then asked...


Q46. How should the following additional martial activities be recognized?

By Activity






# Q46. How should a Target Archery only peerage be recognized? (By activity)







# Q46. How should a Combat Archery only peerage be recognized? (By activity)




# Q46. How should an Equestrian peerage be recognized? (By activity)











11,701 respondents answered 'Yes' in Q45. Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)?

These were then asked...

Q46. How should the following additional martial activities be recognized?


By type of highest level of award








# Q46. How should a Target Archery only peerage be recognized? (By type of highest level of award)





# Q46. How should a Combat Archery only peerage be recognized? (By type of highest level of award)






# Q46. How should an Equestrian peerage be recognized? (By type of highest level of award)







11,701 respondents answered 'Yes' in Q45. Should SCA participants be able to receive a peerage for excellence in non-armored combat or other martial activities (for example, fencing, archery and the like)?

These were then asked...

Q46. How should the following additional martial activities be recognized?

By type of peerage currently held

